

# A FAIR AND SUSTAINABLE FISHERIES BILL

April 2018



**Brexit is being presented as a beacon of hope for fishers. Many in coastal communities are looking to Brexit to lift them out of the economic hardship in which they feel trapped. But modelling from the [New Economics Foundation has shown that without action, Brexit risks creating as many 'losers' as 'winners' across the diverse UK fishing fleet.](#)**

Only in the (very unlikely) scenario that Ministers put fisheries above all other interests in negotiations with the EU will there be benefits across the UK fleet. In the more likely scenarios, the trade-offs of Brexit sees some fishers do far worse than others. The majority of ports around the UK (60% of ports above £100,000 in landings) receive most of their value from the fish and shellfish they land from pots and traps or dredgers. Most of these are from small-scale vessels catching shellfish for EU export, which may face new tariffs.

Brexit alone also won't improve the environmental performance of the UK fleet, with quota likely to be awarded to the same quota holders, fishing the same way, without any requirement to innovate or demonstrate high environmental standards. [Applying environmental and social criteria to fishing opportunities provides a means to incentivise environmental stewardship and support low-impact fishing.](#) The greatest threat of all is that of **overfishing**; the history of quota-setting with multiple countries over shared fish stocks is that limits are set far above scientific advice.

The Fisheries Bill alone won't solve all these issues, but it is an opportunity to improve the way that UK fisheries management is enshrined in law – correcting long-standing failings that have contributed to a sense of grievance in fishing communities.

## **Four priorities for the Fisheries Bill**

### **1) The ocean is an ecosystem, which is under pressure**

Fish – those we fish, and those we don't – live in ecosystems, the health of which is critical for their long-term survival as well as being important for biodiversity and in their own right. Fishing also can't be seen in isolation from other sectors that use the sea, such as energy production or communications (e.g. sub-sea cabling). Making this wider set of ecosystem services – from food provision, to regulating our climate, through to biodiversity, recreation, tourism and wellbeing – clear on the face of the Bill is essential to manage the impacts of different sectors. It also facilitates pursuing temporal or spatial measures such as limits on fishing during spawning season or protection of essential fish habitats such as nursery areas.

*The Bill should start from an explicit requirement for 'ecosystem-based fisheries management', as part of a joined-up approach across all policy to ensuring the health of the sea.*

### **2) Fish are a public resource, and quota should be used for the public good**

Fishing quota provides an opportunity to commercially fish a resource that belongs to everyone. This should be seen as a privilege, not a right. Yet the right to fish has been effectively privatised over the last 30 years. The UK has always had the ability to allocate

quota to reward particular types of fishing practice or support broader social or economic goals, but has chosen not to.

There is only so much fish to go round and those that hold quota shares based on a track record of landings have developed a “legitimate expectation” that they will continue to have this share in perpetuity. This acts as a barrier to entry for new fishers and for allocating quota to incentivise sustainable practices or other societal goals, for example to incentivise lower discard rates, reduce carbon emissions, or support low-impact fishing gear.

Using resources to support the public good is the overarching principle of the Government’s new approach to agriculture and should be extended to fisheries. Post-Brexit, quota and other fishing opportunities should be increasingly allocated according to particular goals.

*The Bill should create a new ‘quota reserve’ system: this would set aside a percentage of quota (especially any new quota that comes the UK’s way after Brexit), and allocate it as an incentive to deliver on public goods – environmental and social goals – and helping new, low-impact fishers establish themselves in the industry.*

### **3) Fishing matters for, and because of, coastal communities**

Catching fish contributes less than 0.05% to UK GDP, approximately the size of the locks and hinges industry. Yet it is hugely totemic; the Fisheries Bill will be keenly followed and scrutinised. This is because fishing is a local, not a national, story, disproportionately important culturally and economically to the UK’s fishing towns and villages – many of which are among the UK’s least prosperous areas.

In aggregate, profits in the industry have never been higher (£140 million in 2015, with net profit margins over 25% for the largest vessels), but it doesn’t feel this way in many fishing communities. Profits and associated benefits are not distributed evenly throughout the fleet or ports. Small boats (under 10m) make up 77% of the UK fishing fleet, but hold less than 2% of quota. Empowered local management, and a fairer distribution of quota, is essential.

*The Bill should initiate a process of ‘quota reallocation’, ensuring that those fishers currently left out of the system receive a quota share that is both fair and viable. The Bill should also expand the geographical remit of Inshore Fisheries and Conservation Authorities (IFCAs) from the current 6 miles to 12, to allow for local solutions to unique environmental challenges along our coast.*

### **4) Managing fisheries costs money**

In most resource extraction industries, companies that profit from a resource pay for access and for the costs of running the system. But in fisheries, the public picks up the cost. This constitutes an effective public subsidy to fishers – particularly egregious given high overall profits in the industry (see #3) and the cap on fishing licences which limits new entry (#2).

Following our departure from the EU, subsidies from the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (EMFF), worth £30 million a year, will also cease to be available to the UK industry. Yet industry is likely to continue to need direct support, for example infrastructure improvements to deal with increased landings after Brexit, research and development around low-impact fishing gear.

*The Bill should initiate a review of the support available for the industry and institute a new ‘landings tax’ for fisheries management, differentiated to incentivise boats to land in UK ports.*